arXiv:quant-ph/0110127v1 22 Oct 2001

Information extraction and quantum state distortions in continuous variable quantum teleportation

Holger F. Hofmann[a], Toshiki Ide[b], Takayoshi Kobayashi[b], and Akira Furusawa[c]
[a]CREST, Japan Science and Technology Corporation (JST),
Research Institute for Electronic Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0812, Japan
[b] Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Tokyo,
7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan
[c] Department of Applied Physics, Faculty of Engineering, University of Tokyo,
7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan

Abstract

We analyze the loss of fidelity in continuous variable teleportation due to non-maximal entanglement. It is shown that the quantum state distortions correspond to the measurement back-action of a field amplitude measurement

1 Introduction

Quantum teleportation transfers a quantum state to a remote location using shared entanglement and classical communication [1]. Ideally, this procedure does not change the transmitted state at all, even though classical information is obtained in an irreversible measurement. This is only possible if the classical information is completely independent of the teleported state. In the case of continuous variable quantum teleportation [2], only non-maximal entanglement is available. As a result, the classical information obtained in the measurement does depend on the input state, and a corresponding measurement back-action is observed in the output. In the following, this limitation of fidelity in continuous variable teleportation is discussed using the recently introduced transfer operator formalism [3].

2 Continuous variable teleportation

In continuous variable teleportation, an unknown input state $\mid \psi_{\text{in}} \rangle$ of the input field $\hat{a}$ is transfered by a precise measurement of the field difference $\hat{a}-\hat{r}^\dagger=\beta$ between $\hat{a}$ and a reference field $\hat{r}$. The reference field $\hat{r}$ is entangled with the remote field $\hat{b}$. This entanglement is obtained by squeezing the vacuum to suppress the fluctuations of $\hat{b}-\hat{r}^\dagger \approx 0$ below the standard quantum limit. Therefore, the measurement result β is approximately equal to the field difference between the unknown input field $\hat{a}$ and the output field $\hat{b}$. The original quantum state of the input field can then be restored by $\hat{b}+\beta \approx \hat{a}$.

The most serious technical limitation of this teleportation scheme is the amount of squeezed state entanglement available. At present, it seems unrealistic to assume a noise suppression of more than 10 dB. While it may be possible to raise this limit in the future, maximal entanglement would require the unrealistic limit of infinite squeezing. Non-maximal entanglement is therefore a fundamental feature of continuous variable teleportation. The quantum state of non-maximal squeezed state entanglement can be formulated in the photon number basis as

$$| \, EPR(q)\rangle = \sqrt{1-q^2}\sum_n q^n \mid n\rangle \otimes \mid n\rangle. \qquad (1)$$

The entanglement parameter q is related to the squeezing factor s by $q = (1-s)/(1+s)$. For example, a noise suppression by one half (3 dB) would correspond to $q = 1/3$.

With this definition of the initial state, it is possible to describe how the measurement of $\hat{a} - \hat{r}^\dagger = \beta$ conditions the output state of $\hat{b}$. Using the properly normalized eigenstates of $\hat{a} - \hat{r}^\dagger$ [4], the projection reads

Initial state

$$\sqrt{1-q^2}\sum_n q^n \qquad \mid \psi_{\rm in}\rangle \qquad \otimes \quad \mid n\rangle \quad \otimes \quad \mid n\rangle$$

Measurement projection

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\sum_n \qquad \langle n \mid \hat{D}(-\beta) \quad \otimes \quad \langle n \mid$$

Conditional output state

$$\sqrt{\frac{1-q^2}{\pi}}\sum_n q^n \qquad \langle n \mid \hat{D}(-\beta) \mid \psi_{\rm in}\rangle \qquad \mid n\rangle. \qquad (2)$$

For $q \rightarrow 1$, the conditional output state is equal to the displaced input state $\hat{D}(-\beta) \mid \psi_{\rm in}\rangle$. The effect of $q < 1$ reduces the contributions of states with high photon numbers.

In the final step of quantum teleportation, the displacement is reversed by modulating the output field $\hat{b}$. This modulation is proportial to β and can be described by a displacement operator $\hat{D}(g\beta)$, where the gain factor g permits an amplification or attenuation of the output amplitude. The process of continuous variable quantum teleportation can then be described by a transfer operator, such that both the probability distribution $P(\beta)$ of the measurement results and the normalized conditional output states $\mid \psi_{\rm out}(\beta)\rangle$ are described by

$$\sqrt{P(\beta)} \mid \psi_{\rm out}(\beta)\rangle = \hat{T}(\beta) \mid \psi_{\rm in}\rangle$$

with

$$\hat{T}(\beta) = \sqrt{\frac{1-q^2}{\pi}}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n \; \hat{D}(g\beta) \mid n\rangle\langle n \mid \hat{D}(-\beta). \qquad (3)$$

The transfer operator $\hat{T}(\beta)$ establishes the general relationship between the measurement information β obtained in the teleportation and the conditional quantum state distortions caused by this measurement for arbitrary input states. In particular, the information obtained about the unknown input state $\mid \psi_{\rm in}\rangle$ is characterized by a positive operator valued measure given by

$$P(\beta) = \langle \psi_{\rm in} \mid \hat{T}^\dagger(\beta)\hat{T}(\beta) \mid \psi_{\rm in}\rangle$$

with

$$\hat{T}^\dagger(\beta)\hat{T}(\beta) = \frac{1-q^2}{\pi}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{2n} \; \hat{D}(\beta) \mid n\rangle\langle n \mid \hat{D}(-\beta). \qquad (4)$$

The eigenvalues of this positive operator valued measure are the displaced photon number states $\hat{D}(\beta) \mid n \rangle$. In phase space, these displaced photon number states can be associated with concentric circles of radius $\sqrt{n+1/2}$ around β. The higher n, the greater the difference between the actual field value and β. $\hat{T}(\beta)$ therefore describes a finite resolution measurement of the complex field amplitude $\hat{a}$.

3 Coherent state teleportation

The properties of the transfer operator are best illustrated by applying it to typical input states. For a coherent state input $\mid \alpha\rangle$, the quantum teleportation process is characterized by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{T}(\beta) \mid \alpha\rangle = & \\ & \sqrt{\frac{1-q^2}{\pi}} \exp\left(-(1-q^2)\frac{|\alpha-\beta|^2}{2}\right) \\ \times & \exp\left((1-gq)\frac{\alpha\beta^*-\beta\alpha^*}{2}\right) \\ & \times \mid q\alpha + (g-q)\beta\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

This result consists of a probability factor $\sqrt{P(\beta)}$ describing a Gaussian probability distribution centered around $\beta = \alpha$, a phase factor important only if a superposition of coherent states is considered (e.g. cat states or squeezed states), and finally the modified coherent state, with an attenuated original amplitude of $q\alpha$ and a measurement dependent displacement of $(g-q)\beta$.

Note that equation (5) may be applied to any input state if that state is written as a superposition of coherent states. The phase factor is then crucial in determining the coherence of the output. For a simple coherent state, however, the distortions of the output state are best characterized by defining the measurement fluctuation $\phi = \beta - \alpha$. The probability distribution over ϕ is then given by a Gaussian centered around $\phi = 0$, and the output statistics are described by

$$\begin{aligned} P(\phi) &= \frac{1-q^2}{\pi}\exp\left(-(1-q^2)|\phi|^2\right) \\ \mid \psi_{\text{out}}(\phi)\rangle &= \mid g\alpha + (g-q)\phi\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The correlation between the measurement fluctuation ϕ and the output amplitude $g\alpha + (g-q)\phi$ is given by the gain dependent factor $g-q$. In particular, $g > q$ indicates a positive correlation between the measurement fluctuation and the output amplitude, while $g < q$ indicates a negative correlation. In the special case of $g = q$, the output amplitude does not depend on the measurement result. At this gain condition, continuous variable quantum teleportation simply attenuates the coherent state to an amplitude of $q\alpha$. As pointed out by Polkinghorne and Ralph [5], this situation corresponds to the attenuation of the signal at a beam splitter. Our formalism allows a generalization of this analogy to back action evasion measurements using feedback compensated beam splitters [6].

4 Photon number state teleportation

It is possible to identify the beam splitter analogy more directly by examining the effects of the Transfer operator on the creation operator $\hat{a}^\dagger$. For $g = q$,

$$\hat{T}_{g=q}(\beta)\hat{a}^\dagger = \left((1-q^2)\beta^* + q\hat{a}^\dagger\right)\hat{T}_{g=q}(\beta). \tag{7}$$

Effectively, $\hat{T}_{g=q}(\beta)$ attenuates $\hat{a}^\dagger$ by a factor of q and replaces the loss with a complex amplitude of $\sqrt{1-q^2}\beta^*$. The attenuated amplitude can be identified with the component transmitted by a beam splitter of reflectivity $R = 1 - q^2$ and the β^* dependent addition can be interpreted as the measurement back-action from the reflected parts of the input field. In general, the teleportation of a photon number state can then be described by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{T}(\beta)\frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}\left(\hat{a}^\dagger\right)^n \mid 0\rangle = & \\ & \hat{D}((g-q)\beta) \\ \times & \sqrt{\frac{1-q^2}{\pi n!}}\exp\left(-(1-q^2)\frac{|\beta|^2}{2}\right) \\ & \times \left((1-q^2)\beta^* + q\hat{a}^\dagger\right)^n \mid 0\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The measurement back-action causes photon losses and introduces coherence by replacing a component of the creation operators with a complex amplitude. This corresponds to the loss of photons at a beam splitter and the measurement back-action of a projection on coherent states, e.g. by eight port homodyne detection.

5 Conclusions

The transfer operator $\hat{T}(\beta)$ provides a complete description of the measurement information extracted and the quantum state distortions in continuous variable teleportation. The correlations between the errors caused and the information obtained correspond to the back-action of a non-destructive quantum measurement of the coherent field amplitude. In particular, the distortions correspond to the attenuation of the original signal amplitude and a measurement back-action conditioned by the field information obtained from a measurement of these losses.

References

[1] C.H. Bennet, G. Brassard, C.Crepeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, and W.K. Wootters, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 70, 1895 (1993).

[2] L. Vaidman, *Phys. Rev.* A 49, 1473 (1994), S.L. Braunstein and H.J. Kimble, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 80, 869 (1998), A. furusawa, J.L. Sorensen, S.L. Braunstein, C.A. Fuchs, H.J. Kimble, and E.S. Polzik, *Science* 282, 706 (1998).

[3] H.F. Hofmann, T. Ide, T. Kobayashi, and A. Furusawa, *Phys. Rev.* A 62, 062304 (2000).

[4] Note that the proper normalization for the continuous variable β is the delta function $\langle \beta \mid \beta' \rangle = \delta(\beta - \beta')$.

[5] R.E.S. Polkinghorne and T.C. Ralph, *Phys. Rev. Lett* 83, 2095 (1999).

[6] H.F. Hofmann, T. Ide, T. Kobayashi, and A. Furusawa, quant-ph/0102097, to be published in *Phys. Rev.* A.